ParcelMap BC Adoption Working Group

Workshop #29

June 16th 2021

10:00am to 12:00 pm

Remote Session

Brian Greening   AWG Chair
                      Director, ParcelMap BC Products, LTSA

Steve Mark   AWG Vice-Chair
                      Director of Operations, ICI Society

Welcome / Opening Remarks	10:00 am

Housekeeping: (15 min)
        General Updates
        Sub-Group Updates:
•   Team Alignment: Updates & Workshops
•   Team Land Records: Updates
•   Team Province: Updates
	10:05 am

ParcelMap BC Status Update: (30 min) • Operations Status Update • Spatial Improvements (See Completed & Targeted Areas and latest Assessment) • Adopter Status Update (See Latest Report) • LTSA Projects, Products & Services Update	10:20 am
ICI Society Operations Update: (5 min) • Collaboration Portal • Virtual Café / Utilities update	10:50 am
Land Records Workshop Debriefing (15 min)	10:55 am
AWG Engagement (15 min) • Poll/Survey results • Conclusions/Actions	11:10 am
AWG Format Review (15 min) • Retain monthly cadence? • Move to "Workshop Model" vs. "Presentation Model" • Resume "in person" meetings and outreach	11:25 am
Adopter Issues Log: (5 min) • Update and Triage New Issue(s)	11:40 am
Future Workshops (10 min): • Next Up: September, October, etc… o Session Focus / Topic(s)	11:45 am
Open Questions, Feedback & Wrap-Up	11:55 am

innovation. | integrity. | trust.

bc Land
Title & Survey

AWG Housekeeping

ParcelMap BC Newsletter – Now in full swing - [sign up]!

Sub-Group Updates:

Team Alignment

Updates & "Data Alignment Workflows" Workshops

- IGISUG June 1st: 30min "*Overview of Data Alignment with ParcelMap BC*"
- Virtual Café June 24nd: "*Deep Dive into Data Alignment with ParcelMap BC*" ([sign up])
- City of Kamloops and Township of Langley as featured case studies / testimonials

Team Land Records

Updates & "Land Records Integration" Workshop recap later in agenda

Team Province

Updates -- ICF or other Data Alignment (??)

bc Land
Title & Survey

ParcelMap BC Ops: May 2021 Stats

Workitem Completed Volumes - Previous 13 months

Work Item Turnaround - Previous 13 months

SPDS Defect Rate (Reported)	
YTD	Curr. Month
5%	5%

innovation. | integrity. | trust.

bc Land Title & Survey

ParcelMap BC Ops: Current Stats

Spatial Improvements: Latest assessment published June 8th

Targeted: Completed (*Latest* only – full list here):

Sooke – June 2021   CVRD part 2 – March 2021

Port Alberni – June 2021   Naramata – March 2021

North of Golden – June 2021   CVRD part 3 – March 2021

Parksville – July 2021   Oliver – April 2021

Colwood / Highlands – July 2021   Rossland part 1 – April 2021

FVRD / Agassiz – August 2021   Warfield – May 2021

                                  Golden   – May 2021

                                  Sunshine Valley – May 2021

Adjustment Readiness Summary	⌃ ✕
Areas of Interest	96
Candidate Areas	2,786

innovation. | integrity. | trust.

bc Land
Title & Survey

ParcelMapBC: Tier 2 Customer Support - 2021

Number of Cases (YTD - 2021)	Number of Cases (May 2021)	Median Turnaround Time (YTD - 2021)
369	88	1.2 days

Support Cases by Type, May 2021

Legend:
- Attribute Update
- Geometry Update
- Inquiry (PMBC)
- Internal - LTO
- Internal - SGD
- Other
- ParcelMapDirect
- Spatial Improvement
- Survey Plan Dataset Submission
- Switched PIDs

Support Cases by Organization, May 2021

Legend:
- BRITISH COLUMBIA ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY
- Canadian National Railway Company
- Individual
- Local Government
- LTSA
- Ministry
- Other
- Regional District
- Survey Firm

innovation. | integrity. | trust.

6

Titled Road Parcels Project

Background:

During the PMBC project, active PIDs that were identified as 'road' through assessment by the LTSA were excluded & tracked such that they may be mapped later.

This project seeks to represent those PIDs in ParcelMap BC initially "excluded" from the compilation scope.

Operational Update:

Month	Total Completed	Total Outstanding Titled Roads	Completed Per Day
May-20	1100	31900	55
Jun-20	1060	30840	53
Jul-20	2071	28769	99
Aug-20	1415	27354	71
Sep-20	1627	25727	74
Oct-20	1389	24338	63
Nov-20	1689	22649	77
Dec-20	1972	20677	99
Jan-21	1507	19170	69
Feb-21	1287	17883	68
Mar-21	1512	16371	76
Apr-21	555	15816	29
May-21	862	14954	45

- Initial Projection assumes ~33000 'Titled Roads' initially.
- Estimated completion date April, 2023
- Many of these Excluded parcels may not be roads and could be charges on Crown SRWs, subdivisions, etc..

bc Land
Title & Survey

Other Stuff of Interest...

- ISA Mapping – Practice Update
- MoTI Historic Highways Project
- Spatial Improvement App - Enhancement
- Historic Interests Intake Pilot
- ParcelMap BC Practice Document - Update

innovation. | integrity. | trust.

Adopter Dashboard: May 31st 2021 Footprint

Current Adopters (82):

NEW Local Governments   **NEW** Other Adopters

Full list available [here](#)

LIVE map
Green = Adopted
Blue = Transitioning

bc Land
Title & Survey

Adopter Dashboard: May 31st 2021 Report

ParcelMapBC

Adopters by State

Adopter Groups by State	No Access	Access	Assessing	Transitioning	Adopted	Total
Local Governments using ICF	0	1	19	7	45	72
Provincial Groups using ICF	0	0	4	2	2	8
Local Governments who Self Maintain	1	15	60	16	27	119
Parcel Consumers using ICIS Cadastre	1	1	6	2	6	16
ICI Society (Internal)	0	0	0	2	0	2
LTSA (Internal Use)	0	0	0	0	2	2
	2	17	89	29	82	219

Full details here

ICI Society Operations Update

Operations Update:

Updates & Activities of interest to Members

Land Records Workshop

Land Records Workshop (May 19th 2021) - Debriefing

Land Records Integration with ParcelMap BC Virtual Workshop

- Approximately 100 attendees

- Slide Deck & Video recording posted on LTSA Adoption Resources and Tools Site under Land Records Integration

- Slide Deck & Agenda also on Basecamp in Workshop #28 (Open House) folder

- ICI Society Member Forum also includes related resources

innovation. | integrity. | trust.

AWG Engagement Survey

Purpose of the ParcelMap BC AWG Engagement Survey

- Proposed action from AWG #27
- To better understand AWG members' goals and motivations
- To increase participant engagement in our monthly AWG sessions

Engagement Survey Metrics

- 31 AWG members polled
- 6 responses collected – approx. 19% response rate
- 7 questions in the survey
- 4 mins completion time

bc Land
Title & Survey

innovation. | integrity. | trust.

AWG Engagement Survey - Questions

Q1) Contact Information

Q2) Choose your principal motivation for participating in the AWG?
1. To help me stay informed about ParcelMap BC operations and related LTSA / ICI Society products and services
2. To learn how others are using ParcelMap BC data in their organisations
3. To share my knowledge and best practices with other organizations
4. To help me understand how to tackle address challenges to ParcelMap BC adoption at my organisation

Q3) Is the AWG currently meeting your principal motivation?
- Yes
- If No, what could we do better?

Q4) Where is your organization currently in terms of ParcelMap BC Adoption?
1. Not Accessing
2. Assessing for Issues
3. Transitioning to Adoption
4. Adopted

innovation. | integrity. | trust.

bc Land
Title & Survey

AWG Engagement Survey - Questions

Q5) What, specifically, do you wish to get from participating in the AWG?

Q6) What do you wish to contribute to the AWG Sessions? (Please select all that apply)
- Mentor other members of the Adoption community
- Present at a future AWG Working Session / Workshop
- Contribute to an AWG focus group (e.g. Land Records, Alignment)
- Represent the interests of a particular segment of the Adopter Community (e.g. Local Governments, Utilities, Provincial Agencies)

Q7) How can we get more interaction at the AWG Sessions? (Please select all that apply)
- Break out working sessions during AWG Working Sessions
- Less reporting from LTSA and more participant led presentations/discussions
- Encourage participants to turn on their video to get more of personable interaction
- Proactively soliciting feedback from AWG members to guide agenda content for upcoming AWG sessions

innovation. | integrity. | trust.

bc Land
Title & Survey

AWG Engagement Survey - Results

Number and Percentage of Respondents to the ParcelMap BC
AWG Engagement Survey

6, 19%

25, 81%

- Yes
- No

**Adoption Status of Respondents**

Adopted = 3 respondents

Assessing = 1 respondent

Implementation Partners = 2 Respondents

innovation. | integrity. | trust.

bc **Land**
Title & Survey

AWG Engagement Survey - Results

Choose your principal motivation for participating in the AWG?

	33%	33%	0%	17%	17%
	To help me stay informed about ParcelMap BC operations and related LTSA / ICI Society products and services	To learn how others are using ParcelMap BC data in their organisations	To share my knowledge and best practices with other organizations	To help me understand how to tackle address challenges to ParcelMap BC adoption at my organisation	Other (please specify)

innovation. | integrity. | trust.

bc Land
Title & Survey

AWG Engagement Survey - Results

Is the AWG currently meeting your principal motivation?

innovation. | integrity. | trust.

AWG Engagement Survey - Results

Where is your organization currently in terms of ParcelMap BC Adoption?

AWG Engagement Survey - Results

What do you wish to contribute to the AWG Sessions? (Please select all that apply)

AWG Engagement Survey - Results

What, specifically do you wish to get from participating in the AWG?

"Updates on changes and events that
 impact my use of PMBC, and to work on
issues that also impact my use of PMBC."

"Issues reporting and tracking. Information on
proposed changes (eg. parcel identifier). "

"Leads on work to support organizations
adopting ParcelMap"

"Broaden my knowledge of how to use PMBC
successfully from others experiences"

"Stay current with PMBC, see how others are
integrating PMBC into their day-to-day,
see how others are automating PMBC adoption"

"Shared experiences, ability to add
to the conversation based on
our adoption and knowledge."

innovation. | integrity. | trust.

bc Land
Title & Survey

AWG Engagement Survey - Results

How can we get more interaction at the AWG Sessions? (Please select all that apply)

AWG Format Review

Review of AWG Format: Reflecting on the Survey…

Shift from "closed group" to "open house" ??

Move to "Workshop Model" vs. "Presentation Model" ??

AWG Participants (you) – what role ??

Resume "in person" meetings and outreach ??

Retain monthly cadence ??

Update [Terms of Reference](#) or even wind down the AWG ??

innovation. | integrity. | trust.

bc Land
Title & Survey

Adopter Issues Log: Status & AWG Priorities Review

Prior status see AWG page:

Changes / Updates:

New Issue(s): None

Updated Issue(s): Are these agreed to be resolved now (35, 38, 48, 49, 54, 59, 60)?

ID	Name	Description	Status	Notes
35	Confidence in Product over time	Need more time to get familiar with all aspects of the PMBC Dataset and how it can relate/replace current workflows	Completed	BG: What are we looking to provide insight to here? Moved back to "Verified" to define an action & assignment… BG16Feb21: In Progress with renewed effort in awareness activities - but when is AWG "done"? **BG14Jun21: Resolved with pubished detailed documentation and transition planning resources?**
38	Crown Ownership	Only identified as crown, if crown on title. Untitled crown land is not statused	Completed	BG: Brought back with continued questions being raised in ICF Retirement planning. BG01FEB21: Showed compare maps in the AWG 24. Is there more we can do?? Need more from Province… **BG14Jun21: Resolved with published documentation, example ICF-like resources and demo/workshop?**
48	Importance of Tempest Integration	AWG members report that Tempest integration is potentially a major hurdle to adoption. Adopters need to be able to track changes in Tempest when there is a change in the parcel fabric via some sort of trigger or flag when changes are made. Many jurisdictions have custom Tempest installs/tweaks so that a solution is not a "one size fits all". BCA recently worked with Tempest when introducing the new format for the Data Advice files and may be able to provide insights regarding challenges and solutions associated with Tempest integration.	Completed	**BG 14Jun21: Resolved after integration & transition resources published and the land records workshops?**
49	Land Records Cross Reference Development	A Land Records Cross Reference is being developed jointly by some AWG members to assist in maintaining mapping between PMBC and Tempest.	Completed	Brian Goble demonstrated script and link table approach for Tempest integration and will "package" as a resource for others to use. **BG 14Jun21: Resolved after integration & transition resources published and the land records workshops?**
54	Insufficient Resources (prioritization of time and/or people) to plan and execute transition to ParcelMap BC	Common sentiment heard by LTSA & ICI Society during various awareness & outreach activities.	Completed	AWG12: Communicate benefits and resolved challenges & opportunities to help with prioritization. Budget season focus? Tie to resolving other high priority issues?, General consensus that this issue should be retired as all the facilitation team is meeting its objectives of continued communicaion BG 18Jan21: Re-opened with the in-progress creation of the "Transition Planning Resources" as outcome from LMFG work. **BG 14Jun21: Resolved after transition resources published?**
59	Requests made to LTSA to update incorrect municipality values shown in ParcelMap BC not quick enough.	Raised By Jason Hart (Harterra Spatial Solutions at AWG#21). He relayed this based on input from municipalities he has been working with. They notice it is taking a long time for the LTSA to make the corrections to municipal values.	Completed	LTSA is collaborating with the land title offices to determine a workflow that will help expedite the process of correcting these discrepancies in the land title register. BG 18Jan21: LTSA Ops teams working on new procedures and policy to streamline update process. Bulk update of "obvious errors" scheduled and comms with affected stakeholders planned. **BG 14Jun21: Resolved with new LTSA / MUNI agreed practice using spatial data or wait until "dispute" process finalized?**
60	Titled parcels without an associated plan number being treated with a legal category "Other" rather than "Land" when data BCA Data Advice imported to Tempest Land records	Raised by Ron Galos (GIS Analyst City of Penticton) via email to ParcelMap BC Support	Completed	Irshad recommended that Ron also raise this issue on the newly launched ICI Society Colloboration Portal BG17Feb21: Some data filtering in Penticton may be updated (Jason H) for Tempest but this is a data driven challenge. Likely not just Penticton problem. Gary @ Vic: Possibly just ID and tag as "Land" in Tempest? **BG 14Jun21: Resolved based on suggestions by other Tempest users / Tempest Support?**

Future Workshops

Next Session Date & Logistics

Sometime in September (*not 15th – Brian G already booked!!*)
Date, Time and Logistics TBC

Structure & Cadence based on "revised" AWG approach

Possible Topics:
- Survey Fabric Data Best Practices (? – Idea from AWG #27)
- Using the Transition Planning Resources (? – Workshop more of the toolkit)
- **Ideas / input from the group (?)**

innovation. | integrity. | trust.

bc **Land**
Title & Survey

Wrap-Up

Group Feedback?

Open Questions?

Have a Wonderful Summer!!!

bc Land
Title & Survey